

Grand Bargain Annual Meeting 2020

Actions follow up tool

This tool brings together information and data from three documents: Roadmap 3.0¹, gap analysis and Grand Bargain Summary Notes. The objective of this tool is to clarify responsibilities for ensuring success, suggest a timeline and identify the appropriate level of action.

NOTE: This is a living document that will be updated as needed.

THEMATIC	MOVING FORWARD	FROM	COMPLETED BY	KEY ACTORS	FOLLOW UP BY	LEVEL	SUGGESTED FIRST STEP
WS1 <i>Transparency</i>	Signatories reinforced their commitment to publishing data in IATI and FTS. Going beyond the participation rate, the emphasis should be on increasing the granularity of data published in IATI, with due consideration for data protection concerns, to enable better informed decision making. Signatories should assess what prevents them from publishing more granular data.	AM notes Gap analysis Roadmap	End 2020	All Signatories	Co-convenors WS1 (NL and World Bank)	Technical (below Sherpas)	
	Make IATI more inclusive by ensuring that the tool enables all organisations to publish and use data, including smaller organisations.	AM notes	End 2020	IATI	Co-convenors WS1	Technical (below Sherpas)	Start a pragmatic discussion with IATI on how to improve the tool and reflect on how to harness collective intelligence to leverage new ideas and increase ownership

¹ The Roadmap includes also recommendations from the AIR 2020.

THEMATIC	MOVING FORWARD	FROM	COMPLETED BY	KEY ACTORS	FOLLOW UP BY	LEVEL	SUGGESTED FIRST STEP
	In the COVID-19 response, encourage partners to publish downstream partner data in IATI to better track localisation.	AM notes Gap analysis	End 2020	All Signatories WHO	Co-convenors WS1	Technical and Sherpa if needed	Send an official communication to reinforce the message about the importance of publishing on IATI
	Develop a vision on how the different data platforms are linked and ensure that the Signatories only publish the data once but use it often and on different platforms. In essence, create a “one stop shop” for funding data.	AM notes Gap analysis	End 2020	Netherlands and World Bank IATI OCHA Key donors	Co-convenors WS1	Technical	Organize a meeting with FTS and IATI
<i>WS4 Reduced Management Costs</i>	Analyse recommendations of the GPPI study on individual donor assessments and identify next steps to ensure they are translated into fewer donor and UN assessments at country level, resulting in the reduction of management costs and increase of funding reaching people in need.	AM notes Roadmap	Spring 2021	Coalition of key donors, including ECHO and UK	Japan, as co-convenor of WS4, supported by FG and EP team	Technical, followed by Sherpa level (EP team and FG)	FG donors to analyse the recommendations in September and organize a constituency call (or a call with a restricted number of selected donors) to agree on a common approach – this call is prepared at technical level and then the call is done at Sherpa level
	Seek greater alignment of different initiatives to increase transparency in management costs, including	AM notes	Spring 2021	UNHCR NRC	UNHCR NRC supported by	Sherpa level	Ask UNHCR and NRC to explain the next steps

THEMATIC	MOVING FORWARD	FROM	COMPLETED BY	KEY ACTORS	FOLLOW UP BY	LEVEL	SUGGESTED FIRST STEP
	between the UN Data Cube and the Money Where it Counts Initiative.			Key UN Agencies	UN agencies in FG		and, if necessary, propose a Sherpa level meeting
	Encourage other UN partners to join the UN Partner Portal.	AM notes	Spring 2021	UNHCR Key UN Agencies	UNHCR supported by UN agencies in FG	Technical	WFP, UNHCR, UNICEF, UNFPA, jointly with OCHA, to agree on a way forward
<i>WS9 Harmonised and simplified reporting</i>	Donors and UN agencies should adopt the 8+3 template for their implementing NGO partners. Donors that have already adopted it should proactively lobby and challenge peers to rapidly adopt the template in the donor community.	AM notes Gap analysis Roadmap	Spring 2021	Coalition of key donors and NGOs	Co-convenors with support of SCHR, ECHO, DFID, Secretariat	Technical	The Secretariat to develop 2 best practice stories to be shared with donors
<i>WS2 Localization and WS 6 Participation Revolution</i>	Increase investments in capacities of local actors, based on their priorities. And seek ways to pass on overhead costs.	AM notes Gap analysis Roadmap	Spring 2012	Coalition of key donors to be identified Identified local actors SDC and IFRC	SCHR on behalf of the FG (including pushing for defining what success looks like, but without entering a cumbersome process)	Political – EP team	FG and EP team identify key stakeholders and convene a high-level meeting Possible action from ECHO: DG ECHO reaches out to EU development arms and the World Bank to try and set-up a “facility” or financial instrument or programme for capacity building

THEMATIC	MOVING FORWARD	FROM	COMPLETED BY	KEY ACTORS	FOLLOW UP BY	LEVEL	SUGGESTED FIRST STEP
							of local NGOs. – to be approved OCHA to discuss with UNDP on how such investments along the nexus can be supported from the UN system.
	Ensure funding for local actors through pooled funding.	AM notes	End of 2020	Coalition of key donors, INGO, UN, RC	SCHR	Political – EP team	Same as above
	Strengthen engagement between the participation and localisation workstreams, ensuring political support for greater accountability to affected populations.	AM notes	End of 2020	IFRC, SDC, SCHR, US	SCHR	Sherpa level	Identify, together with Co-convenors, one pilot field operation where local actors can be fully integrated in the HPC Include proactively key local actors in some conversations (eg future of the GB)
<i>WS3 Cash and WS5 JNA</i>	Agree on a commitment to improve cash coordination, based on inter-sector response analysis, facilitating a scale-up in the use of cash assistance and more efficient, effective and accountable aid.	AM notes Gap analysis Roadmap	Spring 2021	Co-convenors (DFID, WFP), the Tackling Political Blockages sub-	WFP and DFID	Political (Principals) WFP DFID	it is suggested to address the issue of <i>collaboration vs coordination</i> , this could be a first, open step

THEMATIC	MOVING FORWARD	FROM	COMPLETED BY	KEY ACTORS	FOLLOW UP BY	LEVEL	SUGGESTED FIRST STEP
	Strong engagement at political level is necessary, including from IASC.			workstream co-leads, and all clusters, UNHCR, OCHA, UNICEF, ECHO (plus other donors if necessary)			which would unlock the following.
	Continue efforts to ensure consistent analysis of gender and gender-based violence concerns within needs assessments and analysis, including with cash partners, building upon the significant advancements seen over the past few years.	AM notes Gap analysis Roadmap	Spring 2021	FoG CALP	Co-convenors	Technical	Exactly identify the status of gender integration in cash
	Reconfirm commitment towards people-centered needs assessment; continue investment, work and efforts to further develop and apply the Joint Inter-sectoral Analysis Framework in field locations in the current Humanitarian Programme Cycle, including protection considerations; and, for donors, ensure funding decisions are aligned with needs assessments and analysis results. Scale up the Joint Intersectoral Analysis Framework.	AM notes Gap analysis	Fall 2020	Coalition of key donors and UN Agencies, to be created	ECHO and OCHA	Political at Principals level	Organize a high level call with a coalition of relevant actors to move forward the agenda
<i>WS 7&8 Quality funding</i>	Continue to call on major donors to provide quality funding as per the commitments.	AM notes	Spring 2021	Coalition of key donors (six donors identified by	Co-convenors EP team	EP team	Organize a high-level call (ongoing)

THEMATIC	MOVING FORWARD	FROM	COMPLETED BY	KEY ACTORS	FOLLOW UP BY	LEVEL	SUGGESTED FIRST STEP
				EP team: Canada, Sweden, UK, ECHO, USA, Germany) IASC			
	Focus on modalities to make quality funding available in a timely fashion and step-up efforts to reach the critical mass needed to facilitate pass-through to frontline responders, including local actors.	AM notes	Spring 2021	Coalition of key donors (six donors identified by EP team: Canada, Sweden, UK, ECHO, USA, Germany) IASC	Co-convenors EP team	Sherpa level	
	Convene a series of structured dialogues, both at technical and political level, to (1) clarify donors' accountability and visibility requirements; (2) identify and agree on how to apply an expanded concept of quality funding; (3) scale-up good practices; and (4) address barriers to cascading quality funding to front-line responders and develop related targets.	AM notes Gap analysis	End 2020	Coalition of key donors (six donors identified by EP team: Canada, Sweden, UK, ECHO, USA, Germany)	Co-convenors EP team together with the FG	Technical and Sherpas (but expected political outcome)	
<i>Risk sharing</i>	Netherlands/ICRC to help advance the collective conversation, considering the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engage relevant workstreams to address key elements of the risk initiative including 	AM notes	Fall 2020	Key actors to be identified	NL and ICRC	Technical	Actions already identified

THEMATIC	MOVING FORWARD	FROM	COMPLETED BY	KEY ACTORS	FOLLOW UP BY	LEVEL	SUGGESTED FIRST STEP
	<p>workstream 4 on certification process and workstream 2 on involvement of local actors.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Initiate a discussion with the Good Humanitarian Donor (GHD) group members on “zero tolerance for non-action”. • Liaise with the IASC Results Group 5 to identify synergies on risk initiatives and discuss harmonizing due diligence requirements. • Convene an expert-level meeting on risk sharing in second half of 2020 with the aim to facilitate a dialogue among key stakeholders, ensuring that the initiative is focused on practical issues, grounded in concrete operational contexts and enables participation of local actors. • Move towards better sharing of actual risks, illustrate risk-sharing with concrete examples, and propose a way forward by December 2020. 						
<i>Future of GB</i>	The Facilitation Group will develop a proposal on the future of the Grand Bargain by December 2020.	AM notes	End 2020	Key actors to be identified, including local actors (possibly NEAR – local actors to be considered a	All FG	Technical Sherpa Political (Principals and EP team) According to the stage of the process	Draft a plan of action to develop the proposal

31 JULY 2020

THEMATIC	MOVING FORWARD	FROM	COMPLETED BY	KEY ACTORS	FOLLOW UP BY	LEVEL	SUGGESTED FIRST STEP
				fifth constituency of the GB)			